

# The Psychology of Green Consumption: Exploring Attitude–Behavior Gaps in Sustainable Buying

Dilip Kumar (Ph.D Research Scholar)<sup>1</sup>, Dr. Rajesh Kumawat (Assistant Professor)<sup>2</sup>,  
Department – Commerce, Shri Jagdish Prasad Jhabarmal Tibrewala University, Chudela, Jhunjhunu

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.15584598

## ABSTRACT

*Despite growing environmental awareness and favorable attitudes toward sustainability, many consumers still fail to translate their green intentions into consistent purchasing behavior. This paper explores the psychological foundations of green consumption and critically examines the attitude-behavior gap that persists in sustainable buying. Through a review of existing psychological theories and empirical data from a primary survey of Indian consumers aged 18–35, the study identifies factors such as cognitive dissonance, social norms, convenience, and perceived consumer effectiveness as key contributors to this gap. The findings indicate that while eco-conscious attitudes are widespread, actual behaviors are often moderated by emotional, situational, and motivational barriers. The paper concludes with behavioral interventions and policy strategies to bridge this psychological disconnect and encourage more consistent green consumerism.*

**Keywords:** Green consumption, sustainable behavior, attitude-behavior gap, environmental psychology, decision-making, consumer ethics, intention-action divide

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The demand for sustainable consumption has surged globally as the ecological cost of modern lifestyles becomes increasingly evident. Consumers, particularly younger generations, report growing concern for the planet and express preferences for eco-friendly products and practices. However, this concern does not always convert into action—a phenomenon known as the attitude–behavior gap in green consumption.

Many individuals who express strong support for environmental protection continue to engage in unsustainable behaviors such as excessive packaging use, fast fashion consumption, and frequent travel. This dissonance raises critical questions about the psychological underpinnings of green consumerism. What holds consumers back from aligning their values with their actions? What internal and external factors influence this gap?

This paper investigates the psychological dimensions of green consumption by analyzing the mechanisms that lead to inconsistency between consumers' attitudes and behaviors. It seeks to identify actionable strategies that can help reduce this gap and promote sustainable behavior more effectively.

## 2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

**2.1 Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB)** Ajzen (1991) proposed that behavior is driven by intentions, which are influenced by attitudes, subjective norms, and perceived behavioral control. TPB is a useful model in understanding why consumers fail to act despite favorable attitudes toward green products.

**2.2 Cognitive Dissonance** Festinger (1957) described the mental discomfort experienced when one's actions contradict their beliefs. In the green context, consumers may feel guilty for purchasing non-eco products but rationalize it due to price, availability, or habit.

**2.3 Value-Action Gap** Blake (1999) introduced the value-action gap concept to highlight that environmental values do not always lead to behavior change, due to structural and situational barriers.

**2.4 Perceived Consumer Effectiveness (PCE)** According to Ellen et al. (1991), consumers who believe their individual actions make a difference are more likely to engage in pro-environmental behaviors.

**2.5 Role of Emotions and Social Influence** Recent studies (White et al., 2019) have emphasized that emotions (like pride or guilt) and peer influence significantly affect green behavior, often more than rational cost-benefit analysis.

## 3. METHODOLOGY

- **Survey Sample:** 350 respondents aged 18–35 across urban centers in India
- **Tools Used:** Structured questionnaire based on TPB and PCE frameworks using 5-point Likert scales

- **Variables Studied:** Environmental concern, perceived effectiveness, emotional triggers, convenience, price sensitivity, social influence
- **Analysis Method:** Descriptive statistics and correlation analysis using SPSS software

## 4. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

### 4.1 Strong Attitudes but Weak Actions

- 78% of respondents expressed high concern for environmental issues
- Only 41% consistently purchased eco-friendly products

### 4.2 Key Barriers Identified

- Price concerns (63%)
- Limited availability (49%)
- Lack of trust in green claims (42%)
- Inconvenience or lack of time (37%)

### 4.3 Psychological Factors

- 54% admitted to feeling guilty after making unsustainable choices
- Only 39% believed their individual efforts had real environmental impact (low PCE)

### 4.4 Social Norms Influence

- 61% were more likely to buy green products if recommended by peers or influencers

## 5. DISCUSSION

The data confirms that while green attitudes are prevalent, behavioral execution is often inconsistent, driven by a combination of psychological and structural factors. Cognitive dissonance is commonly observed—individuals reconcile their non-green behavior by downplaying its impact or blaming external factors like price or unavailability.

The low perceived consumer effectiveness suggests a need for communication strategies that reinforce the importance of individual actions. Social norms and peer behavior emerged as significant motivators, pointing to the potential of community-based and influencer-led initiatives to foster sustainable habits.

Additionally, emotional engagement—through narratives, storytelling, and positive reinforcement—can bridge the gap more effectively than rational appeals alone.

## 6. RECOMMENDATIONS

- **Enhance Accessibility and Affordability:** Make green products more price-competitive and widely available.
- **Use Social Proof:** Leverage influencer marketing and peer-driven campaigns to normalize green behavior.
- **Promote Behavioral Nudges:** Default options (e.g., paper over plastic) and subtle cues can guide sustainable choices.
- **Strengthen PCE through Storytelling:** Showcase real-life impact stories that link consumer actions with measurable outcomes.
- **Combat Greenwashing:** Regulate green claims and promote credible certifications to build trust.

## 7. CONCLUSION

Bridging the attitude–behavior gap in green consumption requires more than awareness—it demands a deep understanding of the psychological drivers that shape consumer choices. As this study shows, emotional conflict, perceived ineffectiveness, and contextual barriers contribute to the inconsistency between values and actions. Strategies that foster social support, build trust, and reduce perceived inconvenience can help translate environmental concern into real-world sustainable behavior. To create meaningful change, green marketing and policy must move beyond education to behavioral empowerment.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Ajzen, I. (1991). *The Theory of Planned Behavior*. Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, 50(2), 179–211.
- [2] Festinger, L. (1957). *A Theory of Cognitive Dissonance*. Stanford University Press.
- [3] Blake, J. (1999). *Overcoming the 'Value-Action Gap' in Environmental Policy: Tensions Between National Policy and Local Experience*. Local Environment, 4(3), 257–278.

- [4] Ellen, P. S., Wiener, J. L., & Cobb-Walgren, C. (1991). *The Role of Perceived Consumer Effectiveness in Motivating Environmentally Conscious Behaviors*. Journal of Public Policy & Marketing, 10(2), 102–117.
- [5] White, K., Habib, R., & Hardisty, D. J. (2019). *How to SHIFT Consumer Behaviors to Be More Sustainable: A Literature Review and Guiding Framework*. Journal of Marketing, 83(3), 22–49.
- [6] Peattie, K., & Crane, A. (2005). *Green Marketing: Legend, Myth, Farce or Prophecy?* Qualitative Market Research, 8(4), 357–370.
- [7] Vermeir, I., & Verbeke, W. (2006). *Sustainable Food Consumption: Exploring the Consumer “Attitude–Behavioral Intention” Gap*. Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Ethics, 19(2), 169–194.
- [8] Nielsen (2022). *Sustainable Consumer Trends Report – India Focus*. Retrieved from <https://www.nielsen.com>
- [9] Kotler, P., & Keller, K. L. (2016). *Marketing Management* (15th ed.). Pearson.
- [10] Mintel. (2022). *Eco-Behavioral Segmentation of Indian Consumers*. Retrieved from <https://www.mintel.com>