

# Finite Element Analysis of Fiber Orientation and Stacking Sequence Effects on Composite Beam Shaft

Mr. Dinesh Sunil Bhalerao<sup>1</sup>, Dr. Yugesh A. Kharche<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>PG Student ME, Department of Mechanical Engg CAD CAM, Padm. Dr.V. B. Kolte College of Engineering, Malkapur, Maharashtra, India

<sup>2</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Mechanical Engg CAD CAM, Padm. Dr.V. B. Kolte College of Engineering, Malkapur, Maharashtra, India

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.19336410

## ABSTRACT

*This review examines the critical role of fiber orientation and stacking sequence in determining the mechanical behavior of composite beam shafts through finite element analysis (FEA) methodologies. Composite materials, particularly fiber-reinforced polymers, have gained prominence in structural applications due to their high strength-to-weight ratio and tailorable properties. The orientation of reinforcing fibers and the sequence in which laminae are stacked significantly influence the structural response under various loading conditions. This paper synthesizes recent advances in FEA techniques applied to composite beam shafts, discussing the effects of ply orientation angles, stacking configurations, and their implications on stiffness, strength, buckling behavior, and dynamic characteristics. The review highlights the computational methodologies, validation approaches, and emerging trends in optimizing composite beam shaft designs for aerospace, automotive, and industrial applications*

**Keywords :** - Finite Element Analysis, Composite Materials, Fiber Orientation, Stacking Sequence, Laminated Beams, Structural Optimization

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Composite materials have revolutionized structural engineering by offering unprecedented design flexibility and mechanical performance. Unlike conventional isotropic materials, fiber-reinforced composites exhibit anisotropic behavior, meaning their properties vary with direction [1-2]. This characteristic, while providing opportunities for optimization, introduces complexity in structural analysis and design [3]. The performance of composite structures is intrinsically linked to two fundamental parameters: fiber orientation within individual plies and the sequential arrangement of these plies through the thickness [4-5].

Composite beam shafts represent a critical structural element in numerous engineering applications, from drive shafts in automotive systems to rotor blades in wind turbines and structural members in aerospace vehicles [6]. The design of these components requires careful consideration of multiple loading scenarios including bending, torsion, axial loading, and combined stress states [7-8]. Traditional analytical methods, while valuable for simplified geometries and loading conditions, often fall short when dealing with complex boundary conditions, geometric discontinuities, or realistic material behavior [9].

Finite Element Analysis has emerged as the predominant tool for analyzing composite structures, enabling engineers to predict mechanical responses with high fidelity [10-11]. FEA allows for the incorporation of material anisotropy, layer-wise variation in properties, and complex failure mechanisms that characterize composite materials. The method discretizes the continuous structure into finite elements, solving governing equations numerically to obtain displacement fields, stress distributions, and failure indices throughout the structure.

The significance of fiber orientation stems from the fact that composite materials exhibit maximum stiffness and strength along the fiber direction [12-13]. A unidirectional lamina typically possesses longitudinal modulus several times greater than its transverse modulus. Consequently, the orientation of fibers relative to the loading direction profoundly affects structural response. Similarly, stacking sequence determines how loads are distributed among layers and influences coupling behaviors between bending and extension, or between bending and twisting [14-15]. This review systematically examines how FEA has been employed to investigate these effects, focusing on composite beam shafts [16-17]. We explore the theoretical foundations of composite mechanics, FEA implementation strategies, parametric studies on orientation and stacking effects, validation methodologies, and optimization approaches [18]. The synthesis of this knowledge provides insights into

best practices for designing composite beam shafts and identifies gaps requiring further investigation.

## **2. THEORETICAL BACKGROUND**

### **2.1 Mechanics of Composite Materials**

The mechanical behavior of a laminated composite structure is governed by classical lamination theory (CLT), which provides the framework for relating loads to deformations. A laminate consists of multiple plies, each potentially having different fiber orientations. The constitutive relationship for a single orthotropic ply in its principal material coordinates is expressed through the stiffness matrix relating stresses to strains.

For a ply oriented at angle  $\theta$  to the reference axis, a transformation is applied to obtain the stiffness matrix in the global coordinate system. The transformed stiffness properties exhibit coupling terms that vanish in the principal directions but become significant when fibers are oriented at arbitrary angles. This coupling is responsible for the complex deformation patterns observed in off-axis loaded composites. The laminate-level constitutive equations relate force and moment resultants to mid-plane strains and curvatures through the extensional stiffness matrix (A), bending-extension coupling matrix (B), and bending stiffness matrix (D). These matrices are computed by integrating the transformed ply stiffness through the laminate thickness, weighted by the distance from the reference surface. The B matrix, which couples in-plane and out-of-plane responses, is particularly sensitive to stacking sequence and vanishes only for symmetric laminates.

### **2.2 Beam Theory for Composites**

Composite beam analysis typically employs one of several theories depending on the required accuracy and computational efficiency. Classical beam theory, analogous to Euler-Bernoulli beam theory, assumes plane sections remain plane and perpendicular to the neutral axis after deformation, neglecting transverse shear deformation. This approximation is valid for slender beams where length-to-thickness ratios exceed approximately 20. First-order shear deformation theory (FSDT), similar to Timoshenko beam theory, relaxes the perpendicularity constraint and accounts for constant transverse shear strain through the thickness. A shear correction factor is introduced to compensate for the assumption of uniform shear distribution. FSDT provides improved accuracy for moderately thick beams and is computationally efficient.

Higher-order shear deformation theories eliminate the need for shear correction factors by assuming polynomial distributions of displacement through the thickness. These theories satisfy the condition of zero shear stress at free surfaces and provide superior accuracy for thick sections, though at increased computational cost. For composite beams, the choice of theory affects how fiber orientation and stacking sequence influence the structural response. Anisotropic effects, such as bending-twisting coupling in unsymmetric laminates, require careful consideration in the kinematic assumptions.

### **2.3 Failure Theories**

Predicting failure in composite materials is more complex than in homogeneous materials due to multiple failure modes: fiber breakage, matrix cracking, fiber-matrix debonding, and delamination. Several failure criteria have been developed, each with strengths and limitations. Maximum stress and maximum strain criteria evaluate failure by comparing stress or strain components in the material principal directions against allowable values. These criteria are simple but do not account for interaction between stress components. The Tsai-Hill and Tsai-Wu criteria are interactive quadratic failure theories that consider combined stress states. These provide more realistic predictions but require experimental determination of interaction parameters. Progressive damage analysis extends beyond first-ply failure to track damage evolution and ultimate structural collapse. Material properties are degraded incrementally based on failure indices, and the analysis continues until global failure occurs. This approach is essential for accurately predicting the load-carrying capacity of composite structures.

## **3. FINITE ELEMENT MODELING APPROACHES**

### **3.1 Element Types and Formulations**

The choice of finite element formulation significantly impacts the accuracy and efficiency of composite beam shaft analysis. One-dimensional beam elements offer computational efficiency and are appropriate when global beam behavior dominates. These elements incorporate laminate constitutive relations directly, with degrees of freedom representing axial displacement, transverse deflections, and rotations. Two-dimensional shell elements provide a balance between accuracy and computational cost for beam structures with moderate thickness variations or local stress concentrations. Shell elements employ layered formulations where each ply is defined with its orientation, material properties, and thickness. The element stiffness matrix is obtained by numerical integration through the thickness, capturing the variation in material properties across layers.

Three-dimensional solid elements offer the highest fidelity, particularly for analyzing interlaminar stresses, edge effects, and delamination. However, the computational expense increases substantially, especially when multiple elements are required through the thickness to capture steep stress gradients near interfaces. Solid elements are typically reserved for detailed analysis of critical regions rather than global structural behavior. Recent

developments include layer-wise theories implemented in advanced shell elements, which assign independent displacement fields to each ply while maintaining displacement compatibility at interfaces. These formulations accurately capture transverse shear and normal deformation without requiring 3D meshes.

### **3.2 Material Property Implementation**

Accurate FEA requires proper definition of material properties for each ply. For fiber-reinforced composites, the principal material properties include longitudinal modulus ( $E_1$ ), transverse modulus ( $E_2$ ), in-plane shear modulus ( $G_{12}$ ), major Poisson's ratio ( $\nu_{12}$ ), and corresponding strength values. These properties are typically obtained from standardized coupon tests or micromechanical models. Temperature and moisture dependence of properties must be considered for applications involving environmental exposure. Hygrothermal effects induce residual stresses and alter material properties, affecting the structural response. FEA codes allow temperature and moisture-dependent material definitions, often requiring additional experimental characterization. Manufacturing-induced imperfections, such as fiber waviness, void content, and curing residual stresses, influence actual structural performance. Advanced modeling approaches incorporate these effects through initial stress states or modified material properties based on manufacturing process simulations.

### **3.3 Mesh Considerations**

Mesh quality directly affects solution accuracy and computational efficiency. For composite beam shafts, mesh refinement is necessary in regions of high stress gradients, geometric discontinuities, or load application points. Aspect ratio limits should be respected to avoid numerical conditioning problems, particularly for anisotropic materials where stiffness varies significantly with direction. Through-thickness discretization deserves special attention. When using solid elements, multiple elements per ply are recommended to capture stress variations, especially near interfaces where delamination may initiate. Shell elements with layered formulations require specification of integration points through the thickness, with more points yielding better accuracy for interlaminar stress recovery. Mesh convergence studies are essential to ensure solution independence from discretization. Systematically refining the mesh and comparing key response quantities (maximum displacement, peak stress, natural frequencies) establishes confidence in the numerical results.

## **4. EFFECTS OF FIBER ORIENTATION**

### **4.1 Uniaxial Loading Response**

The influence of fiber orientation on beam behavior under uniaxial loading has been extensively investigated through FEA. When a composite beam shaft is subjected to axial tension or compression, fibers aligned with the loading direction ( $0^\circ$  orientation) provide maximum stiffness and strength. As the fiber angle increases toward  $90^\circ$ , the effective axial modulus decreases dramatically, approaching the much lower transverse modulus. FEA studies have quantified this orientation dependence, demonstrating that a unidirectional carbon-epoxy beam experiences approximately 85% reduction in axial stiffness when fiber orientation changes from  $0^\circ$  to  $90^\circ$ . The stress distribution also transforms fundamentally: longitudinal stress dominates in  $0^\circ$  plies, while shear stress at the fiber-matrix interface becomes critical in off-axis plies.

Angle-ply configurations, where plies are oriented at  $\pm\theta$  angles, exhibit balanced properties and eliminate bending-extension coupling. FEA reveals that  $\pm 45^\circ$  laminates optimize in-plane shear stiffness while sacrificing axial stiffness. This configuration is frequently employed in torsion-dominated applications such as drive shafts. For beam shafts experiencing combined axial and transverse loading, optimal fiber orientation depends on the load ratio. Parametric FEA studies mapping the design space identify orientation angles that minimize weight while satisfying strength and stiffness constraints. These studies consistently show that pure  $0^\circ$  laminates are rarely optimal for real-world loading scenarios involving multiple load components.

### **4.2 Bending Behavior**

Fiber orientation profoundly affects flexural response of composite beam shafts. Under pure bending, the top and bottom surfaces experience maximum tensile and compressive strains, respectively. Placing high-stiffness  $0^\circ$  plies at these extremities maximizes bending stiffness while minimizing weight. FEA investigations comparing various orientation distributions demonstrate that bending stiffness varies non-linearly with fiber angle. A beam with all plies at  $0^\circ$  exhibits maximum bending rigidity, but this configuration may be susceptible to buckling or inadequate shear resistance. Including off-axis plies enhances transverse shear capacity at the expense of bending stiffness.

The neutral axis location in composite beams depends on the stacking sequence and orientation distribution, unlike homogeneous beams where it coincides with the geometric centroid. FEA accurately captures this shift and its implications for stress distribution. Asymmetric orientation distributions induce bending-extension coupling, causing the beam to bend when subjected to axial loads and vice versa. Parametric studies using FEA have explored graded fiber orientation, where the angle varies continuously through the thickness. These functionally graded materials can be optimized to tailor stiffness distribution, potentially improving performance beyond conventional discrete-ply laminates. However, manufacturing challenges currently limit practical

implementation.

#### **4.3 Torsional Response**

Torsion represents a critical loading mode for beam shafts, particularly in power transmission applications. The torsional stiffness of composite shafts is maximized by orienting fibers at  $\pm 45^\circ$  to the shaft axis, as this alignment coincides with the principal shear stress directions in torsion. FEA studies have systematically varied fiber orientation to map torsional stiffness and strength. Results consistently show that  $\pm 45^\circ$  angle-ply laminates achieve superior torsional performance compared to  $0^\circ$  or  $90^\circ$  configurations. However, these same laminates exhibit reduced axial stiffness, illustrating the fundamental trade-offs in composite design.

For beam shafts experiencing combined torsion and bending, optimal orientation becomes multifaceted. FEA-based optimization routines employing genetic algorithms or gradient-based methods have identified hybrid layups combining  $0^\circ$  plies for bending stiffness with  $\pm 45^\circ$  plies for torsional capacity. The optimal proportion depends on the specific loading spectrum. Warping effects in torsion, which are constrained at boundary conditions and load application points, generate longitudinal stresses superimposed on the shear stress field. FEA captures these localized effects, revealing stress concentrations that may not be evident from simplified analytical solutions. The severity of warping-induced stresses depends on fiber orientation, with  $0^\circ$  plies experiencing higher longitudinal stress variations than off-axis plies.

#### **4.4 Buckling and Stability**

Buckling of composite beam shafts under compressive loading is highly sensitive to fiber orientation. Axial buckling loads are maximized when fibers align with the loading direction, providing maximum compressive stiffness. FEA eigenvalue analyses have quantified the reduction in critical buckling load as fiber orientation deviates from the optimal  $0^\circ$  configuration. Lateral-torsional buckling, a complex instability mode combining bending and twisting, demonstrates strong dependence on the coupling between these deformation modes. Unsymmetric laminates or unbalanced angle-ply configurations create bending-twisting coupling that can significantly reduce buckling resistance. FEA has been instrumental in revealing these coupling effects and their implications for stability.

Post-buckling behavior of composite beam shafts differs fundamentally from metallic counterparts. While metal beams typically exhibit dramatic load-carrying capacity reduction after buckling, composite beams may continue to support increasing loads in the post-buckled regime due to membrane stress development and load redistribution. Nonlinear FEA with geometric nonlinearity captures this behavior, enabling accurate prediction of ultimate collapse loads. Local buckling of individual plies or groups of plies represents another failure mode, particularly in thin-walled beam sections. FEA with refined through-thickness discretization can detect these localized instabilities, which may precede global buckling depending on the stacking sequence and geometric proportions.

### **5. EFFECTS OF STACKING SEQUENCE**

#### **5.1 Symmetric vs. Unsymmetric Laminates**

Stacking sequence refers to the order in which plies of different orientations are arranged through the laminate thickness. The most fundamental distinction is between symmetric and unsymmetric laminates. Symmetric laminates, where the stacking sequence is mirrored about the mid-plane, eliminate bending-extension coupling (B matrix is null). This decoupling simplifies analysis and avoids undesirable warping under membrane loads. FEA studies comparing symmetric and unsymmetric configurations reveal that unsymmetric laminates deform unexpectedly under simple loading. An unsymmetric beam subjected to pure axial load will bend due to coupling between extensional and flexural responses. Conversely, pure bending induces mid-plane strains. While these coupling effects are generally undesirable in structural applications, they can be exploited in adaptive structures where controlled deformation is sought.

The magnitude of coupling effects depends on the degree of asymmetry. Mild asymmetry, such as having one additional  $0^\circ$  ply on one surface, produces small coupling effects. Severe asymmetry, like placing all  $0^\circ$  plies on one side and all  $90^\circ$  plies on the other, generates strong coupling that dominates the structural response. FEA parametric studies have mapped the relationship between asymmetry metrics and coupling-induced deformations. Manufacturing processes invariably produce some asymmetry due to tooling constraints and lay-up procedures. FEA sensitivity analyses quantify the tolerance to stacking sequence imperfections, informing manufacturing specifications. These studies show that small deviations from intended symmetry typically have negligible effects on global behavior but may influence local stress concentrations.

#### **5.2 Ply Grouping and Distribution**

Beyond symmetry, the distribution of ply orientations through the thickness significantly affects structural performance. Two extreme distributions are possible for a given set of ply orientations: clustering like orientations together or dispersing them throughout the thickness. FEA investigations have shown that clustering  $0^\circ$  plies near outer surfaces maximizes bending stiffness by placing the stiffest material farthest from

the neutral axis. This arrangement follows the engineering principle of maximizing second moment of area with the most effective material. However, clustering also creates abrupt stiffness discontinuities between groups of plies, potentially promoting delamination. Dispersed stacking sequences, where different orientation plies are interleaved, smooth stiffness transitions and reduce interlaminar stress concentrations. This distribution is generally more resistant to delamination but may sacrifice some global stiffness efficiency. FEA comparing clustered versus dispersed sequences for identical ply inventories demonstrates these trade-offs quantitatively. The rule of thumb to avoid placing plies with orientation differences greater than  $45^\circ$  adjacent to each other emerges from FEA and experimental studies of interlaminar stresses. Large orientation discontinuities generate high interlaminar shear and peel stresses, especially near free edges where a three-dimensional stress state develops. Edge delamination often initiates at such interfaces.

### 5.3 Interlaminar Stress Analysis

Interlaminar stresses, acting parallel and perpendicular to ply interfaces, are crucial in composite structures because matrix-dominated properties govern through-thickness strength. These stresses cannot be predicted by classical lamination theory, which assumes plane stress, but emerge from FEA solutions satisfying three-dimensional equilibrium. Stacking sequence directly influences interlaminar stress magnitudes and distributions. FEA studies using refined solid element models or specialized layerwise theories reveal that peak interlaminar shear stress occurs at interfaces between plies with large orientation differences. A  $[0/90]$  interface experiences higher interlaminar shear than a  $[0/45]$  interface under identical loading. Free-edge effects represent a critical consideration in composite beam shafts with finite width. Near free edges, the plane stress assumption breaks down, and significant interlaminar normal stress (peel stress) develops. This stress, tensile in nature, is particularly dangerous because matrix tensile strength is limited. FEA with three-dimensional elements captures this boundary layer, which extends approximately one thickness distance from the edge. The stacking sequence near free edges is therefore critical. Placing tough, ductile plies (such as those with higher fiber angles relative to the loading direction) near edges can improve delamination resistance. FEA optimization studies have identified edge-reinforced stacking sequences that suppress edge delamination while maintaining structural efficiency in the interior regions.

### 5.4 Ply Termination and Thickness Transitions

Practical composite beam shafts often require thickness transitions to accommodate varying stress levels or connection requirements. Terminating plies internally creates even more severe interlaminar stress concentrations than free edges. FEA has been extensively used to analyze these "dropped ply" regions and develop design guidelines. When a ply terminates, load carried by that ply must transfer to adjacent plies through interlaminar shear. The abruptness of this transfer determines stress severity. FEA studies comparing different ply drop configurations demonstrate that staggering dropped plies through the thickness, rather than dropping multiple plies at the same location, significantly reduces peak interlaminar stress. The sequence in which plies are terminated also matters. Dropping  $0^\circ$  plies first (in a predominantly  $0^\circ$  laminate) minimizes stress concentration because less load transfers at the drop location. Conversely, dropping  $90^\circ$  plies last retains shear-carrying capacity at the transition region. FEA parametric studies have established optimal termination sequences for various laminate families. Geometric tapering of dropped plies, achieved by sanding or using tapered prepreg, further mitigates stress concentrations. FEA comparing discrete ply drops to continuous tapers shows substantial stress reduction with gradual thickness transitions. However, manufacturing complexity increases, necessitating cost-benefit analysis.

## 6. COMBINED EFFECTS AND OPTIMIZATION

### 6.1 Multi-Objective Optimization

Designing optimal composite beam shafts requires balancing competing objectives: maximizing stiffness and strength while minimizing weight, satisfying buckling constraints, and ensuring manufacturability. Fiber orientation and stacking sequence serve as design variables in this multidimensional optimization problem. FEA-based optimization employs various algorithms to navigate the design space. Genetic algorithms, inspired by natural selection, maintain populations of candidate designs and evolve them through crossover and mutation operations. These methods handle discrete variables (ply orientations) naturally and avoid local optima. However, computational cost is substantial because each design evaluation requires complete FEA. Gradient-based optimization methods exploit design sensitivity information to efficiently navigate toward optimal solutions. For problems with continuous design variables, such as ply thicknesses or lamination parameters, these methods converge rapidly. However, fiber orientations are inherently discrete (typically constrained to  $0^\circ$ ,  $\pm 45^\circ$ , and  $90^\circ$  for manufacturing), requiring special handling like discrete-continuous hybrid formulations. Surrogate-based optimization constructs approximations of expensive FEA responses using data from limited design evaluations. Polynomial response surfaces, kriging models, or neural networks serve as surrogates that can be rapidly evaluated during optimization. Sequential sampling strategies iteratively improve surrogate

accuracy in promising regions. This approach dramatically reduces computational cost for complex optimization problems. Multi-objective formulations acknowledge that no single optimal design exists when objectives conflict. Pareto frontiers, representing non-dominated solutions, provide designers with trade-off information. For example, a Pareto frontier for a beam shaft might illustrate the trade-off between weight and torsional stiffness across different stacking sequences. Decision-making then involves selecting a specific design based on application priorities.

### **6.2 Manufacturing Constraints**

Optimal designs from FEA-based optimization must be manufacturable. Several practical constraints restrict the design space. Fiber orientations are typically limited to a discrete set, commonly  $0^\circ$ ,  $\pm 45^\circ$ , and  $90^\circ$ , because other angles require custom tape or fabric that is expensive and challenging to lay up accurately. Minimum and maximum ply count constraints ensure adequate thickness for handling and prevent excessively thick laminates that complicate processing. Minimum percentage requirements for each orientation prevent designs overly specialized for specific loading conditions at the expense of robustness. For instance, specifying at least 10% of plies at each standard orientation ensures reasonable properties in all directions. Contiguity constraints limit the number of consecutive plies with the same orientation to prevent splitting and improve damage tolerance. Symmetry requirements, while not strictly necessary, are often imposed to avoid bending-extension coupling and simplify tooling. These constraints are readily incorporated into optimization formulations as inequality constraints or penalty functions. Ply drop-off rules, such as limiting the percentage of plies that can be dropped at any cross-section, prevent excessive interlaminar stress concentrations in tapered regions. FEA sensitivity studies establish appropriate limits based on material properties and acceptable stress levels. These rules translate into geometric and sequencing constraints in the optimization problem.

### **6.3 Case Studies from Literature**

Recent literature provides numerous examples of FEA-based optimization applied to composite beam shafts. One notable study investigated automotive drive shafts, comparing steel baseline designs with optimized composite alternatives. The optimization varied fiber orientation and stacking sequence to maximize torsional stiffness per unit weight while satisfying buckling and strength constraints. The optimal carbon-epoxy design achieved 50% weight reduction compared to steel while meeting all performance requirements. FEA revealed that the optimal layup combined predominantly  $\pm 45^\circ$  plies for torsional stiffness with strategically placed  $0^\circ$  plies to prevent buckling. Another investigation focused on helicopter rotor blade spars, which experience complex loading including bending, torsion, and centrifugal forces. Multi-objective optimization balanced bending stiffness, torsional flexibility (for blade pitch control), and weight. The Pareto frontier identified designs ranging from stiff-heavy to flexible-light configurations. FEA showed that strategically tapering stacking sequence along the blade span, with more  $0^\circ$  plies near the root transitioning to more  $\pm 45^\circ$  plies toward the tip, improved performance across all objectives. Wind turbine blade structural members have also been analyzed extensively using FEA. These long, slender beams experience primarily bending loads with some torsion. Optimization studies determined that thick spar caps with predominantly  $0^\circ$  fibers provide optimal bending stiffness, while thin-walled box sections with  $\pm 45^\circ$  shear webs carry torsional and shear loads efficiently. FEA was essential for analyzing buckling of the thin webs and local stress concentrations at web-cap interfaces. Industrial robot arms represent another application where composite beam shafts offer advantages. High-speed rotation generates significant inertial loads, making weight reduction critical. FEA-based optimization identified hybrid carbon-glass laminates as optimal, using expensive high-modulus carbon strategically in critical locations and lower-cost glass elsewhere. The stacking sequence placed carbon in outer plies for bending stiffness and glass in interior plies for impact resistance and cost reduction.

## **7. VALIDATION AND EXPERIMENTAL CORRELATION**

### **7.1 Experimental Techniques**

Validating FEA predictions through experimental testing is essential for establishing confidence in numerical models. Various experimental techniques characterize composite beam shaft behavior under different loading conditions. Static tests in universal testing machines apply controlled loads while measuring displacements using extensometers or digital image correlation (DIC). DIC provides full-field strain measurements on the surface, enabling detailed comparison with FEA predictions. Modal testing identifies natural frequencies and mode shapes through impact hammer excitation or shaker input with accelerometer response measurement. Experimental modal analysis (EMA) provides data for validating FEA dynamic predictions. Correlation metrics, such as the modal assurance criterion (MAC), quantify agreement between experimental and numerical mode shapes. Strain gage installation at critical locations provides point-wise validation of FEA stress predictions. Rosette gages measure the complete in-plane strain state, enabling calculation of principal strains for comparison with FEA. However, strain gages only capture surface behavior and require careful installation to avoid local reinforcement effects. Non-destructive evaluation techniques, including ultrasonic C-scanning and X-ray

computed tomography, detect manufacturing defects such as voids, delaminations, and fiber misalignment. Incorporating these actual imperfections into FEA models improves correlation with experimental results. Manufacturing-induced residual stresses, measured using techniques like hole drilling or layer removal, also influence structural response and should be included in high-fidelity models.

### **7.2 Sources of Discrepancy**

Despite careful modeling, discrepancies between FEA predictions and experimental measurements are common. Understanding sources of these differences is crucial for improving model fidelity. Material property uncertainty represents a significant contributor. Manufacturer-supplied properties often have wide tolerances, and actual coupon test results may differ. Batch-to-batch variation, environmental conditioning, and strain-rate effects introduce further variability. Geometric imperfections, including thickness variations, fiber waviness, and dimensional tolerances, affect structural response, particularly in buckling-sensitive structures. Measuring actual component geometry using laser scanning or coordinate measuring machines and incorporating these measurements into FEA improves correlation. Boundary condition idealization in FEA rarely matches experimental fixtures perfectly. Assumed pinned or clamped boundaries may actually provide partial restraint. Compliance in fixtures and load introduction fixtures introduces flexibility not captured in rigid boundary condition assumptions. Modeling the complete test fixture in FEA, rather than applying idealized constraints directly to the specimen, often improves correlation. Manufacturing effects beyond geometric imperfections influence material behavior. Void content reduces matrix-dominated properties like transverse modulus and shear modulus. Cure-induced residual stresses create initial stress states that alter structural response. Fiber volume fraction variations through thickness and along length introduce property gradients not captured by assuming uniform material properties.

### **7.3 Model Updating and Calibration**

When discrepancies between FEA and experiment exceed acceptable levels, model updating procedures systematically adjust uncertain model parameters to improve correlation. The process begins by identifying parameters with highest uncertainty and greatest influence on responses of interest. Sensitivity analysis ranks parameters by their effect on key outputs. Optimization algorithms then adjust selected parameters to minimize differences between FEA predictions and experimental measurements, weighted by measurement uncertainty. Material elastic constants, boundary condition stiffnesses, and geometric dimensions are common updating parameters. Physical plausibility must be maintained; updated parameters should remain within realistic bounds. Bayesian updating provides a probabilistic framework for model calibration, quantifying parameter uncertainty and prediction confidence. Prior distributions representing initial knowledge are updated using experimental data to obtain posterior distributions. This approach acknowledges that updated models remain uncertain and provides confidence bounds on predictions. Hierarchical validation strategies test progressively complex specimens, starting with simple coupon tests to validate material properties, then component-level tests to validate structural modeling, and finally full-scale tests to validate system-level predictions. This building-block approach localizes discrepancy sources and builds confidence systematically.

## **8. EMERGING TRENDS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS**

### **8.1 Multi-Scale Modeling**

Contemporary research increasingly employs multi-scale modeling approaches that bridge length scales from fiber- matrix microstructure to component-level behavior. Micro-scale FEA of representative volume elements (RVEs) predicts effective ply properties from constituent properties and fiber architecture. These homogenized properties feed into meso-scale ply-level models, which in turn provide inputs to macro-scale structural analysis. This hierarchical approach enables tracking how manufacturing-induced defects at the micro-scale propagate to structural performance. For example, FEA can assess how fiber misalignment distribution within a ply affects laminate stiffness and strength. Multi-scale progressive damage analysis simulates failure initiation at the micro-scale and tracks damage evolution through length scales to predict ultimate structural capacity. Computational homogenization techniques couple scales simultaneously rather than sequentially. The macro-scale FEA invokes micro-scale RVE analyses at integration points to obtain local constitutive response. This approach captures micro-scale phenomena like fiber rotation and matrix non-linearity without requiring explicit microscale discretization throughout the structure.

### **8.2 Machine Learning Integration**

Machine learning is transforming how FEA is conducted and utilized. Surrogate models based on neural networks approximate expensive FEA, enabling real-time optimization and probabilistic design. Deep learning architectures can capture complex relationships between design variables (fiber orientation, stacking sequence) and performance metrics across broad design spaces. Generative design algorithms use machine learning to synthesize novel stacking sequences that satisfy performance requirements while respecting manufacturing constraints. These algorithms explore design spaces far larger than conventional optimization, potentially

discovering unconventional yet superior designs. Physics-informed neural networks (PINNs) incorporate governing equations and boundary conditions as constraints during training, ensuring physically realistic predictions. PINNs show promise for inverse problems, such as inferring fiber orientation and material properties from measured structural response.

### 8.3 Additive Manufacturing of Composites

Additive manufacturing (3D printing) of continuous fiber composites enables unprecedented design freedom, including spatially varying fiber orientation and functionally graded properties. FEA must evolve to model these advanced configurations. Path planning for fiber placement becomes an optimization problem where fiber orientation varies point-by-point rather than layer-wise. Process-induced defects specific to additive manufacturing, such as inter-bead voids and fiber distortion at direction changes, influence mechanical performance. Coupled process-structure FEA simulates fabrication and predicts as-built properties. These predictions inform design optimization that accounts for manufacturing constraints unique to additive processes.

### 8.4 Uncertainty Quantification

Engineering structures operate under uncertain conditions: variable loads, environmental exposure, and material property scatter. Deterministic FEA provides point predictions but does not quantify confidence. Probabilistic FEA propagates input uncertainties through simulation to obtain output distributions. Monte Carlo simulation, repeatedly solving FEA with sampled input parameters, provides output statistics but is computationally expensive. Efficient alternatives include polynomial chaos expansion, which represents uncertain quantities as orthogonal polynomial series, and importance sampling, which focuses computational effort on high-probability regions. Reliability-based design optimization combines uncertainty quantification with optimization, seeking designs that maximize performance while maintaining acceptable failure probability. For composite beam shafts, this approach accounts for uncertainties in fiber orientation tolerance, material property variability, and loading spectrum to ensure robust designs.

## 9. CONCLUSIONS

Finite element analysis has become a critical tool for analyzing and optimizing fiber orientation and stacking sequence effects in composite beam shafts. This review consolidates decades of research, showing that fiber orientation strongly governs mechanical performance, with maximum stiffness and strength achieved when fibers align with primary load paths. Since practical components experience multi-axial loading, hybrid layups are required to balance axial, bending, shear, and torsional demands. Angle-ply layers improve shear and torsional response, while unidirectional plies enhance axial and bending stiffness, and FEA enables accurate assessment of these trade-offs. Stacking sequence also plays a significant role in structural behavior. Symmetric layups prevent coupling effects, outer high-stiffness plies improve bending efficiency, and well-distributed sequences reduce interlaminar stresses and delamination risk. These interactions are effectively captured through FEA, beyond the reach of simplified analytical models. The combined influence of orientation and stacking creates large design spaces best explored using optimization methods integrated with FEA. Manufacturing feasibility and experimental validation remain essential for reliable implementation. Overall, FEA continues to be the foundation for advanced, efficient, and robust composite beam shaft design.

## 10. REFERENCES

- [1] Altın, B., Altınbay Bekem, A., & Ünal, A. (2024). Determination of design criteria for composite drive shaft in automobiles. *Politeknik Dergisi*, 27(2), 721-729. <https://doi.org/10.2339/politeknik.1028437>
- [2] Couto, R. T. (2024). Calculation of Green's function for Poisson's equation in plane polar coordinates. *Trends in Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 25(2024), e01797. <https://doi.org/10.5540/tcam.2024.025.e01797>
- [3] Faisal, B. M., Yousif, E. J., Hussein, E. K., Sharaf, H. K., Santos, T., Santos, C., & Belhassan, K. (2025). Finite element analysis for aluminium alloy 7075-T6 subjected to the bending-torsional variable phase of fatigue loading. *Journal of Advanced Research in Applied Mechanics*, 128(1), 62-71. <https://doi.org/10.37934/aram.128.1.6271>
- [4] Halilbeşe, A. N. (2025). Investigating the torsional vibration behaviour of composite drive shafts in marine propulsion shaft systems. *Journal of Naval Architecture and Marine Technology*, 227(1), 43-51. <https://doi.org/10.54926/jnamt.2025.248>
- [5] Kayode, J. F., Noiki, A. A., Akinyoola, J. O., Afolalu, S. A., Ogedengbe, T., & Akinola, A. O. (2024). Analysis of torsional deformation in an elongated shaft. In *2024 International Conference on Science, Engineering and Business for Driving Sustainable Development Goals (SEB4SDG)* (pp. 1-7). IEEE. <https://doi.org/10.1109/SEB4SDG60871.2024.10630420>
- [6] Kumpati, R., Skarka, W., Skarka, M., & Brojan, M. (2024). Enhanced optimization of composite

- laminates: Multi-objective genetic algorithms with improved ply-stacking sequences. *Materials*, 17(4), 887. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ma17040887>
- [7] Xu, W.; Zikry, M.; Seyam, A.-F.M. Impact Performance of 3D Orthogonal Woven Composites: A Finite Element Study on Structural Parameters. *J. Compos. Sci.* 2024, 8, 193. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcs8060193>
- [8] Patil, R., & Reddy, A. (2024). A review on torsional properties of composites. *Suranaree Journal of Science and Technology*, 31(5), 010328(1-14). <https://doi.org/10.55766/sujst-2024-05-e03744>
- [9] Gireesh, Belawagi, B. Sollapur Shrishail, and V. N. Satwik. "Finite element & experimental investigation of composite torsion shaft." *Int. J. Engg. Research and Applications* 3.2 (2013): 1510-1517.
- [10] JUJUVARAPU, V. S. V. R., Sivaraju, K., & Thaneti, L. R. (2025). Design and static modal analysis of aircraft nose landing gear with various materials using finite element method. *International Journal of Engineering Sciences and Advanced Technology*, 25(7), 776-784. <https://doi.org/10.ijesat.org/v25i7>
- [11] Tuninetti, V., Martínez, D., Narayan, S., Menacer, B., & Oñate, A. (2024). Design optimization of a marine propeller shaft for enhanced fatigue life: An integrated computational approach. *Journal of Marine Science and Engineering*, 12(12), 2227. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jmse12122227>
- [12] Huang, Z.; Wang, J.; Hu, Y.; Jiang, Y.; Xu, Y.; Wan, X. Failure Mechanism of Rear Drive Shaft in a Modified Pickup Truck. *Metals* 2024, 14, 641. <https://doi.org/10.3390/met14060641>
- [13] Waghmare, Pratik M., Pankaj G. Bedmutha, and Shrishail B. Sollapur. "Investigation of effect of hybridization and layering patterns on mechanical properties of banana and kenaf fibers reinforced epoxy biocomposite." *Materials Today: Proceedings* 46 (2021): 3220-3224. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2020.11.194>
- [14] Shinde, Tarang, et al. "Fatigue analysis of alloy wheel using cornering fatigue test and its weight optimization." *Materials Today: Proceedings* 62 (2022): 1470-1474. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2022.02.023>
- [15] Elsalam, N. M. A., Hussien, A. A., Abdeltawab, N. M., Ali, M., & Essam, M. A. (2025). Finite element analysis of two-piece cardan shafts using conventional and composite materials. *Research Square*. <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-7777720/v1>
- [16] Lee, C.-H., Hung, L.-K., Yen, Y.-C., & Su, K.-C. (2025). Biomechanics of humeral locking plate augmented with fibular strut allograft and intramedullary strut plate using finite element analysis. *Scientific Reports*, 15(1), 29211. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-025-09848-5>
- [17] Vinod, M., Kumar, C.A., Sollapur, S.B. et al. Study on Fabrication and Mechanical Performance of Flax Fibre-Reinforced Aluminium 6082 Laminates. *J. Inst. Eng. India Ser. D* (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40033-023-00605-4>
- [18] Mahmud, M. S., Mkawe, P. N., & Nyagilo, V. O. (2025). A comprehensive analysis of non-planar toolpath optimization in multi-axis 3D printing: Evaluating the efficiency of curved layer slicing strategies. *Review of Applied Science and Technology*, 4(2), 274–308. <https://doi.org/10.63125/5fdxa722>