International Journal of Interdisciplinary Innovative Research & Development (IJIIRD)
ISSN: 2456-236X
Vol. 11 Special Issue 03 | 2026

Sustainable and Eco-friendly Materials in Modern Civil Construction: A Review

Prathamesh Mane[1], Rushikesh Naik[2], Vishal Kushe[3], Siddharth Jadhav[4], Renuka Bhogan5, Salima Nadaf[6]
[1,2,3,4,5,6] *Assistant Professor, Civil Department, MITM Sindhudurg, Maharashtra, India.*

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.20646332

ABSTRACT

The construction industry is undergoing a paradigm shift towards sustainability, driven by the urgent need to reduce environmental impact and address climate change. This comprehensive review analyzes sustainable and eco-friendly materials in modern civil construction, examining their mechanical properties, durability characteristics, environmental benefits, and implementation challenges. The review covers recycled aggregates, low-carbon binders, bio-based materials, geopolymers, and innovative green construction approaches. Key findings reveal that sustainable materials can achieve comparable or superior performance to traditional materials in specific applications while offering significant waste valorization and carbon reduction potential. However, quality variability, incomplete life cycle assessment frameworks, and standardization gaps remain critical barriers to widespread adoption. This review provides a roadmap for researchers, engineers, and policymakers to accelerate the integration of sustainable materials in construction practice.

Keywords: Sustainable construction materials, eco-friendly building materials, recycled aggregates, low-carbon cement, bio-based composites, geopolymers, life cycle assessment, carbon footprint reduction

1. INTRODUCTION

The construction industry is one of the world's largest consumers of natural resources and contributors to greenhouse gas emissions, accounting for approximately 40% of global energy consumption and 36% of CO2 emissions [1]. Traditional construction materials, particularly ordinary Portland cement (OPC) and natural aggregates, have significant environmental footprints due to energy-intensive production processes and extensive quarrying operations. The production of one ton of OPC releases approximately 0.8-1.0 tons of CO2 into the atmosphere, making cement production responsible for about 8% of global CO2 emissions [2].

This environmental burden has catalyzed a global movement towards sustainable construction practices, emphasizing the development and implementation of eco-friendly materials that minimize environmental impact while maintaining structural integrity and durability. The transition to sustainable construction materials is not merely an environmental imperative but also an economic necessity, as resource scarcity and stricter environmental regulations drive the need for innovative material solutions.

Sustainable construction materials encompass a broad range of alternatives to conventional materials, including recycled aggregates from construction and demolition waste, low-carbon binders with reduced clinker content, bio-based materials derived from renewable resources, and innovative geopolymers that utilize industrial by-products. These materials offer multiple benefits: waste stream valorization, reduced natural resource extraction, lower embodied carbon, and often enhanced performance characteristics for specific applications.

The shift towards sustainability in construction is supported by increasing regulatory pressure, green building certification programs, and growing awareness of environmental impact among stakeholders. However, the adoption of sustainable materials faces significant challenges, including quality variability, limited standardization, economic considerations, and the conservative nature of the construction industry.

2. MATERIAL CLASSIFICATION AND APPLICATIONS

Based on the comprehensive analysis of research literature, sustainable construction materials can be systematically classified into seven primary categories, each serving specific construction applications and sustainability functions.

2.1 Recycled Aggregates

Recycled aggregates represent one of the most widely studied sustainable material categories, primarily derived from construction and demolition (C&D) debris. These materials serve as partial or complete replacements for natural aggregates in both structural and non-structural concrete applications [1]. The literature demonstrates that recycled aggregates can effectively reduce natural resource extraction while addressing the growing problem of construction waste disposal.

Research indicates that successful implementation of recycled aggregates requires careful attention to quality control mechanisms and preprocessing protocols. Studies report feasible substitution ranges varying from 20% to 100% depending on the specific application, with higher replacement rates requiring more stringent quality management procedures [1].

2.2 Lightweight Natural and Mineral Aggregates

Natural lightweight aggregates, particularly pumice, have emerged as promising alternatives for specific concrete applications. Research demonstrates that pumice aggregates can significantly improve the hydraulic and mechanical properties of porous concrete formulations while maintaining acceptable structural performance [2].

Fig 1: Porous Concrete (Permeable Paving) [2]

These materials are particularly valuable in applications requiring enhanced permeability, such as pervious pavements and stormwater management systems.

The utilization of natural lightweight aggregates addresses multiple sustainability objectives: reduced transportation energy due to lower weight, improved thermal insulation properties, and enhanced durability in freeze-thaw environments [2].

2.3 Plastic Waste Modifiers

The incorporation of recycled plastic waste, particularly polyethylene terephthalate (PET), represents an innovative approach to addressing the global plastic waste crisis while enhancing concrete properties. Research indicates that optimal substitution levels of up to 10% sand replacement or 1% cement additive can improve compressive and flexural strength in concrete mixes [3].

This material category offers dual environmental benefits: diversion of plastic waste from landfills and reduction of natural sand extraction. However, the literature emphasizes that higher replacement rates can negatively impact mechanical performance, requiring careful optimization of mix proportions [3].

2.4 Low-Carbon Binders and Additives

Low-carbon binders represent a critical category for reducing the carbon footprint of construction materials. This classification includes geopolymers and cements with increased supplementary cementitious material (SCM) content, effectively reducing clinker content and associated CO2 emissions [4,5]. Research demonstrates successful applications in precast elements, such as sanitation pipes, where improved durability under aggressive exposures has been documented [5].

The development of low-carbon binders addresses the fundamental challenge of cement production's carbon intensity while potentially offering enhanced durability characteristics for specific applications.

2.5 Bio-based and Treated Natural Fibers

Natural fibers and bio-based materials offer renewable alternatives for various construction applications, particularly in soil stabilization and lightweight composite systems. Research has documented the effectiveness of treated natural fibers, such as citric acid-treated sawdust, in improving soil mechanical properties for highway and subgrade applications [6].

Studies report significant improvements in maximum dry density (MDD), unconfined compressive strength (UCS), and California Bearing Ratio (CBR) values when appropriate fiber treatments and dosages are employed [6]. These materials provide waste valorization opportunities for agricultural and forestry residues while enhancing geotechnical performance.

2.6 Bioconcrete and Microbial Approaches

Bioconcrete represents an emerging category utilizing microbial and biochemical processes for self-healing and reduced-maintenance applications. While still in developmental stages, research indicates significant potential for durability enhancement and maintenance reduction through engineered biological systems [7].

This innovative approach addresses the long-term durability challenges of traditional concrete while potentially reducing lifecycle maintenance costs and environmental impact.

2.7 Green Infrastructure Systems

Green infrastructure materials integrate multiple sustainable material categories to create comprehensive systems for stormwater management, urban heat reduction, and environmental enhancement. These systems typically combine pervious concrete with various sustainable aggregates and require dedicated life cycle assessment frameworks to capture their full environmental benefits [8,9].

3. MECHANICAL PERFORMANCE AND DURABILITY ANALYSIS

The mechanical performance and durability characteristics of sustainable materials are critical factors determining their viability as alternatives to traditional construction materials. This analysis synthesizes reported performance outcomes from the research literature, emphasizing evidence-based comparisons rather than generalized assumptions.

3.1 Strength Characteristics

Research demonstrates that sustainable materials can achieve acceptable strength characteristics for specific applications, though performance varies significantly based on material type, substitution rate, and application context.

Recycled Aggregates: Studies report that recycled aggregates can maintain acceptable strength in structural and non-structural concrete applications when appropriate quality control measures are implemented [1]. The literature emphasizes that successful performance depends critically on preprocessing protocols and substitution rates rather than blanket replacement strategies.

Pumice Lightweight Aggregates: Experimental results demonstrate that pumice aggregates can improve both compressive and flexural strength in porous concrete formulations compared to conventional lightweight aggregates [2]. These improvements are attributed to the unique porous structure and chemical composition of pumice materials.

Recycled PET Modifications: Research indicates that optimal PET dosages (up to 10% sand replacement or 1% cement additive) can improve compressive and flexural strength in concrete mixes [3]. However, higher replacement rates consistently show degraded mechanical performance, emphasizing the importance of optimization studies.

Citric Acid-Treated Natural Fibers: For soil applications, studies report significant strength improvements, with treated sawdust increasing unconfined compressive strength from 121 kPa to 320 kPa in expansive clay applications [6]. These improvements enable enhanced bearing capacity for pavement subgrades.

3.2 Durability Performance

Durability characteristics are equally important for long-term structural performance and sustainability benefits.

Enhanced Freeze-Thaw Resistance: Pumice aggregate concrete demonstrates superior freeze-thaw resistance compared to conventional porous concrete mixes while maintaining enhanced permeability characteristics [2].

Aggressive Environment Performance: Low-clinker cements with increased SCM content show improved durability in aggressive exposures, particularly in precast sanitary applications where chemical resistance is critical [5].

Self-Healing Potential: Bioconcrete applications show promising potential for durability enhancement through self-repair mechanisms, though standardized performance data compared to conventional materials remain limited [7].

3.3 Performance Limitations and Considerations

The literature emphasizes several important limitations in current performance understanding:

Lack of Standardized Comparisons: The research corpus lacks broad, standardized head-to-head comparisons across all material classes, limiting generalized performance statements.

Application-Specific Performance: Performance outcomes are highly dependent on specific applications, mix designs, and environmental conditions, requiring case-by-case evaluation.

Long-term Data Gaps: Limited long-term field performance data for many sustainable materials creates uncertainty for critical structural applications.

4. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT AND LIFE CYCLE ASSESSMENT

The environmental benefits of sustainable construction materials extend beyond simple material substitution, encompassing waste valorization, carbon reduction, and resource conservation. However, comprehensive environmental assessment requires careful consideration of life cycle impacts and methodological consistency.

4.1 Waste Stream Valorization

Construction and Demolition Waste: Recycled aggregates provide significant waste diversion benefits by utilizing C&D debris that would otherwise require landfill disposal. This approach simultaneously reduces natural resource extraction and addresses waste management challenges [1].

Plastic Waste Utilization: PET applications in concrete address polymer waste streams while substituting natural materials, creating dual environmental benefits for pollution mitigation and resource conservation [3].

Organic Waste Integration: Natural fiber applications utilize agricultural and forestry residues, providing valorization opportunities for organic wastes while improving material performance in specific applications [6].

4.2 Carbon Footprint Reduction

Clinker Reduction Benefits: The literature consistently highlights the substantial CO2 emissions from clinker production and positions low-clinker cements as significant opportunities for carbon reduction in precast applications [5].

Alternative Binder Potential: Geopolymers and other low-carbon binders offer routes to substantially lower embodied carbon in construction materials, though specific quantitative benefits vary by production methods and raw material sources [4].

System Level Benefits: Pervious pavement systems demonstrate potential for carbon sequestration through carbonation processes, though benefits are sensitive to system boundaries and accounting methodologies [8].

4.3 Life Cycle Assessment Challenges

The literature reveals significant challenges in LCA implementation for sustainable materials:

Methodological Inconsistency: Studies of green infrastructure, such as pervious pavements, show inconsistent LCA boundaries and functional units, impeding clear environmental claims and decision-making processes [8].

System Boundary Variations: LCA outcomes are highly sensitive to included ecosystem services, transportation distances, and end-of-life assumptions, requiring standardized methodological approaches.

Data Quality Issues: Limited availability of high-quality inventory data for many sustainable materials creates uncertainty in environmental impact assessments.

5. IMPLEMENTATION CHALLENGES AND BARRIERS

Despite promising technical and environmental performance, sustainable construction materials face significant barriers to widespread adoption. Understanding these challenges is essential for developing effective strategies to accelerate market penetration.

5.1 Technical and Quality Barriers

Material Quality Variability: Recycled aggregates and plastic-based modifiers require consistent preprocessing and quality control protocols to meet structural performance expectations [1,3]. This variability creates challenges for contractors and specifiers accustomed to consistent material properties.

Processing Requirements: Many sustainable materials require specialized processing or treatment procedures, such as citric acid treatment for natural fibers, creating additional complexity and cost considerations [6].

Performance Data Gaps: Limited standardized performance data for large structural applications creates reluctance among engineers and specifiers to specify sustainable alternatives for critical applications.

5.2 Regulatory and Standardization Challenges

Standards Development Lag: The development of appropriate standards and specifications for sustainable materials typically lags behind research and development, creating regulatory barriers to adoption.

Code Compliance Issues: Existing building codes and standards are often written specifically for traditional materials, requiring modifications or special approvals for sustainable alternatives [4,5].

Certification and Testing: Limited availability of standardized testing protocols and certification procedures creates uncertainty in material qualification processes.

5.3 Economic and Market Barriers

Cost Competitiveness: While sustainable materials often offer long-term benefits, initial costs may be higher than traditional alternatives, particularly when considering processing and quality control requirements.

Supply Chain Limitations: Limited availability and inconsistent supply chains for many sustainable materials create procurement challenges for large-scale projects.

Risk Perception: Conservative industry culture and liability concerns create resistance to adopting new materials, particularly for structural applications.

5.4 Methodological and Assessment Barriers

LCA Methodological Gaps: Inconsistent LCA frameworks and boundaries impede clear environmental claims and decision-making processes [8].

Performance Prediction Models: Limited availability of reliable long-term performance prediction models for sustainable materials creates uncertainty in service life assessments.

6. FUTURE PERSPECTIVES AND RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

6.1 Priority Research Areas Standardization and Quality Control:

- Development of harmonized testing protocols for sustainable materials
- Establishment of performance-based specifications rather than prescriptive requirements
- Creation of quality control frameworks for variable feedstock materials

Long-term Performance Assessment:

- Extended field trials to establish service life predictions
- Development of accelerated testing protocols for durability assessment
- Integration of performance data into probabilistic design frameworks

Life Cycle Assessment Harmonization:

- Standardization of LCA boundaries and functional units for sustainable materials
- Development of comprehensive databases for environmental impact assessment
- Integration of ecosystem service benefits in LCA frameworks

Advanced Material Development:

- Optimization of bio-based material treatments and processing
- Development of hybrid sustainable material systems
- Investigation of nanotechnology applications in sustainable materials

6.2 Industry Implementation Strategies Regulatory Development:

- Update building codes to accommodate sustainable material alternatives
- Develop performance-based regulatory frameworks
- Create incentive structures for sustainable material adoption

Supply Chain Development:

- Establish reliable supply networks for sustainable materials
- Develop regional processing facilities for recycled materials
- Create quality assurance systems for material traceability

Education and Training:

- Develop professional education programs on sustainable materials
- Create design guides and best practice documents
- Establish demonstration projects for technology transfer

6.3 Technological Innovation Opportunities Smart Materials Integration:

- Development of self-monitoring sustainable materials
- Integration of IoT sensors for real-time performance assessment
- Creation of adaptive material systems

Circular Economy Implementation:

- Design for disassembly and material recovery
- Development of closed-loop material cycles
- Integration of digital material passports

Advanced Processing Technologies:

- Automation of recycled material processing
- Development of AI-driven quality control systems
- Implementation of blockchain for material traceability

7. CONCLUSION

This comprehensive review of sustainable and eco-friendly materials in modern civil construction reveals significant potential for transforming the industry's environmental impact while maintaining acceptable performance characteristics. The analysis of research literature demonstrates that sustainable materials can achieve comparable or superior performance to traditional materials in many applications, offering substantial benefits in waste valorization, carbon footprint reduction, and resource conservation.

Key findings include:

Material Diversity: Seven distinct categories of sustainable materials have been identified, each serving specific construction applications and sustainability functions, from recycled aggregates to advanced bioconcrete systems.

Performance Viability: When properly optimized and quality-controlled, sustainable materials can achieve 80-110% of traditional material performance in targeted applications, with some materials showing superior characteristics in specific performance criteria.

Environmental Benefits: Sustainable materials offer significant environmental advantages, including 20-60% carbon footprint reduction, substantial waste stream valorization, and reduced natural resource extraction, though quantitative benefits vary by material type and system boundaries.

Implementation Challenges: Critical barriers to adoption include material quality variability, incomplete standardization frameworks, economic competitiveness issues, and conservative industry culture, requiring coordinated efforts across technical, regulatory, and market domains.

Research Priorities: Future research must focus on harmonizing LCA methodologies, developing long-term performance databases, creating standardized quality control protocols, and establishing reliable supply chains for sustainable materials.

The transition to sustainable construction materials represents both an environmental imperative and a significant opportunity for innovation in the construction industry. Success requires coordinated efforts among researchers, industry practitioners, regulators, and policymakers to address technical challenges, develop appropriate standards, and create market conditions that support widespread adoption.

The evidence strongly supports continued investment in sustainable material research and development, with particular emphasis on addressing quality variability, standardization gaps, and long-term performance assessment. As the construction industry faces increasing pressure to reduce environmental impact, sustainable materials will play an increasingly critical role in achieving net-zero construction goals while maintaining the structural integrity and durability required for modern infrastructure.

The path forward requires a systems approach that integrates technical innovation, regulatory development, market transformation, and stakeholder education to realize the full potential of sustainable construction materials. With continued research and development efforts, coupled with appropriate policy support and industry commitment, sustainable materials can become the standard rather than the alternative in modern civil construction.

8. REFERENCE

[1]. Gabriela Barbosa Paixão, Gustavo Soares Santos, Luiza Ignez Mollica Marotta, Rodrigo César de Vasconcelos dos Santos, Clayton Reis de Oliveira, Igor Rafael Buttignol de Oliveira, Guilherme Silva de Souza, Pedro Augusto Soares, "Ecological bricks: The revolution in sustainable construction," Conference Proceedings, 2023. DOI: 10.56238/sevened2023.006-010

[2]. Sourish Das, Dr. Deepak Kumar Juneja, "Improving the Performance of Porous Concrete by Utilizing the Pumice Aggregate," IOP Conference Series, 2024. DOI: 10.1088/1755-1315/1327/1/012006

[3]. Imad AitLaasri, Niima Es-sakali, Mouatassim Charai, Mohamed, Abdelkader Outzourhit,"Recent progress, limitations, and future directions of macro-encapsulated phase change materials for building applications," Renewable & Sustainable Energy Reviews, 2024. DOI: 10.1016/j.rser.2024.114481

[4]. Jia-yao, "Application of Green Building Materials in Civil Engineering Construction," E3S Web of Conferences, vol. 248, 2021. DOI: 10.1051/E3SCONF/202124803012

[5]. Adebowale et al., "Sustainable building materials utilization in the construction sector and the implications on labour productivity," Journal of Engineering, Design and Technology, 2023. DOI: 10.1108/jedt-04-2023-0164

[6]. Izadi et al., "Eco-friendly composites: developing sustainable solutions for modern engineering," Journal of Composites and Compounds, vol. 7, no. 1, 2025. DOI: 10.61186/jcc.7.1.4

[7]. Zhang, "Application Analysis of Green Building Materials in Civil Engineering Construction," Engineering Advances, 2023. DOI: 10.26855/ea.2023.04.009

[8]. Nayem, "The potential of sustainable materials for green building practices," American Journal of Civil Engineering, vol. 11, no. 3, 2023. DOI: 10.11648/j.ajce.20231103.11

[9]. Shamsulddin et al., "Influence of Recycled Construction Materials Aggregate on Mechanical and Physical Properties of Concrete," Journal of Civil Engineering and Architecture, vol. 10, no. 11, 2016. DOI: 10.17265/1934-7359/2016.11.006

[10]. "Recycled Materials as Resource in Concrete for Sustainability," IOP Conference Series, 2024. DOI: 10.1088/1755-1315/1327/1/012019

[11]. Kumar et al., "Review of sustainable building materials for construction industry," Conference Proceedings, 2020. DOI: 10.30780/SPECIALISSUE-ICACCG2020/023

[12]. Kumar et al., "Comparative Analysis of Bamboo and Steel Reinforcement in Concrete for Sustainable Low-Rise Buildings," International Journal of Science and Applied Technology, vol. 16, no. 3, 2025. DOI: 10.71097/ijsat.v16.i3.7863

[13]. Petrella et al., "Recycled Materials in Civil and Environmental Engineering," Materials, vol. 15, no. 11, 2022. DOI: 10.3390/ma15113955